arXiv:1601.01919v1 [quant-ph] 8 Jan 2016

Tuneable interacting bosons for relativistic and quantum information processing

Chester Moore[1] **and David Edward Bruschi**[1]

[1]York Centre for Quantum Technologies, Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, YO10 5DD York, UK

E-mail: cbmoore24@gmail.com, david.edward.bruschi@gmail.com

Abstract. We study the full time evolution of a system of two modes of a bosonic quantum field that interact through quadratic hamiltonians. We specialise to a time dependent two mode squeezing Hamiltonian where the coupling is switched on and off smoothly. We find the analytical solutions to the time evolution after the interaction is turned off, which apply to arbitrary coupling functions. We investigate the final state of the system and compute all physically relevant quantities, such as average particle number, entanglement and change in energy. Our techniques can be applied to a wide range of scenarios, which include implementations of time dependent two-mode squeezing, coupled harmonic oscillators, harmonic oscillators coupled to light. Furthermore, these techniques can be extended to more complicated time-dependent quadratic Hamiltonians. We briefly discuss possible applications within the area of relativistic and quantum information.

1. Introduction

The study of the dynamics of physical systems in any area of physics, from quantum information processing to cosmology, requires understanding how they interact and evolve in time. Within the frameworks of quantum mechanics and quantum information, dynamical (interacting) systems are of great importance for quantum computation [1], quantum cryptography [2], quantum information processing [3] and in the theory of quantum many body systems [4]. In particular, cutting edge experiments in quantum optics and superconducting circuits, which are promising directions for the development of the next generation of quantum technologies, require great control over the dynamics of small quantum systems, which are easily affected by many unwanted sources of decoherence and noise [5]. In this direction, achieving greater degrees of analytical insight of the dynamics of these systems can help improve experiments and aid us unveiling novel effects. Furthermore, it has been recently shown that quantum technologies, if developed to the stage where creation, manipulation and detection of quantum systems can be controlled to the desired precision, can also demonstrate effects of relativity on entanglement and quantum information tasks and ultimately aid our understand of the overlap of relativity and quantum mechanics [6, 7, 8]. Altogether, this indicates that a better understanding and control of the dynamics of interacting (quantum) systems is paramount to deepening our understanding of the surrounding world.

Time evolution is typically represented by (a set of) differential equations where the solutions provide information about the state of a system at later times, once appropriate initial conditions are given [9]. For systems with a time independent Hamiltonian the solution to this problem is easily obtainable, however, the situation changes dramatically once the Hamiltonian depends on time [9]. Time dependent Hamiltonians, in general, do not commute at different times, which implies that there is little hope for an analytical solution and in general numerical tools or approximations are required to investigate the behavior of a system as it evolves in time (important applications can be found, for example, in the theory of parametric-down conversion [10]). A time dependent Hamiltonian can be interpreted as arising from the interaction of a set of quantum systems with an external (often classical) one, whose details are not directly relevant to the problem at hand. These details are then "distilled" in a few time dependent parameters that modulate the Hamiltonian of the quantum system of interest. In this sense, the system under study is a driven system, since it can interact with an "outside" object. Time dependent Hamiltonians of this type are of interest to most areas of physics, from quantum optics [11] to quantum field theory [12] and cosmology [13].

Among all models of interacting quantum systems, of particular interest are interacting bosonic systems, such as modes of two or more coupled bosonic quantum fields or harmonic oscillators. Interacting bosonic fields and harmonic oscillators can be used, for example, to model light coupled to nano-mechanical resonators [14], single modes of a bosonic field interacting with a large ensemble of two-level systems [15] and

harmonic detectors probing quantum fields for relativistic and quantum information tasks, all of which are of great interest in current theory and cutting-edge experiments. Solving for the time evolution of arbitrary couplings is generally an impossible task, however, it was recently shown that time dependent quadratic Hamiltonians (quadratic in the creation and annihilation operators or, equivalently, in the quadrature operators) can be tackled analytically [16] (for a different approach see also [17]). Although an explicit form for the time ordered evolution operator was indeed found [16], the final explicit solution still depends on a set of nonlinear, first order, coupled differential equations.

In this work we build on these preliminary tools (proposed in [16]) and find an analytical solution of the dynamics of time dependent two mode squeezing. We specialise to Gaussian states of two bosonic modes or harmonic oscillators, which can be readily implemented in quantum optical setups in the laboratory [18]. We are able to solve the coupled nonlinear differential equations when the coupling is "physical", i.e., it is turned on and turned off after a finite amount of time. Therefore, we can find the final state of the total system, of the reduced systems and compute analytically any quantity of interest. We discuss the usefulness of our techniques when applied to a specific detection model within the area of relativistic and quantum information processing, that of a spatially extended (quantum) detector interacting with a quantum field such as the electromagnetic field, and we compute the number expectation value of the detector and the field and the entanglement between them. Finally, we also discuss future directions and applications.

This work is organised as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the necessary tools to be used in this work. In Section 3 we present the main results and compute the quantities of interest, such as average particle number and entanglement. In Section 4 we discuss of possible applications of our techniques. Finally, in Section 5 we discuss the outlook and possible directions.

In this work the metric has signature $(-,+,+,+)$. The symbol Tp stands for transposition. We work in the Heisenberg picture.

2. Tools: quantum field theory and covariance matrix formalism

Bosons can be used to model a wide variety of physical systems, from the electromagnetic field propagating in superconducting circuits [5, 19] to phonons in a Bose-Einstein Condensate [20, 21] and radiation emitted by black holes [22]. Since our interest lies in the overlap of relativity and quantum science, we choose to focus here on bosonic quantum fields, rather than harmonic oscillators, because they are at the centre of recent advances in relativistic and quantum information [23]. It is important to note, however, that our techniques and results apply *directly* and in a straightforward fashion to coupled harmonic oscillators or harmonic oscillators coupled to modes of quantum fields.

We consider two modes of (one or more) bosonic quantum fields coupled via and arbitrary time-dependent quadratic interaction. The interaction drives the time

evolution and we employ the covariant matrix formalism from continuous variables theory common to quantum optics [16, 18]. In this section we introduce all the tools necessary for this work.

2.1. Interacting bosonic fields in Quantum Field Theory

A bosonic quantum field Φ is an operator-valued function defined over a 4-dimensional spacetime manifold with coordinates x^μ and metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, see [24, 12]. Without loss of generality we choose the simplest bosonic field, i.e. the massless uncharged scalar field. This can be used, to good approximation, to model a single polarisation mode of the electromagnetic field [25]. The scalar field Φ obeys the well-known Klein-Gordon equation

$$\Box\Phi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where we have introduced the curved spacetime d'Alambertian $\Box := \sqrt{-g}^{-1}\partial_\mu\sqrt{-g}\,\partial^\mu$ and g is the determinant of the metric [12]. There are infinite orthonormal sets of modes that are solutions to the Klein-Gordon equation (2.1). In case an (asymptotic) timelike Killing vector ∂_η is available, it is convenient to choose a set of solutions $\{\phi_k\}$ to the Klein-Gordon equation (2.1) that satisfy the eigenvalue equation $\partial_\eta\,\phi_k = -i\,\omega_k\,\phi_k$, where $\omega_k \geq 0$ is the eigenvalue, which later will be identified with the frequency of the mode. This choice of basis is standard in quantum field theory and guarantees that there is a meaningful definition of particle, at least for a class of observers that "naturally" adapts to the Killing vector, such as a uniformly accelerated observer in Rindler coordinates [12]. The field Φ can be expanded in terms of the mode basis $\{\phi_k\}$ as $\Phi = \sum_k [D_k\,\phi_k + D_k^\dagger\,\phi_k^*]$, where the bosonic creation and annihilation operators $D_k, D_k^\dagger$ satisfy the canonical commutation relations $[D_k, D_{k'}^\dagger] = \delta_{kk'}$, and all other commutators vanish. Here we assume that the mode basis $\{\phi_k\}$ is discrete and therefore the subscript $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is discrete and collects all possible quantum numbers. It is also possible to extend these results to continuous quantum numbers but the nature of the results will not change.

A simple yet interesting time dependent interaction H_I between the field Φ and a harmonic probe, or another quantum field Ψ has been previously investigated [16] and takes the general form

$$H_I = \int d^3x\,\sqrt{-g}\,\mathcal{F}(t,\boldsymbol{x})\,\Psi(t,\boldsymbol{x})\,\Phi(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(t,\boldsymbol{x})$ is real function that represents the "spatial and temporal extent" of the interaction [26].

It is possible to choose a set of coordinates $(\tau,\boldsymbol{\xi})$ which "co-moves with the interacting systems" and a mode basis adapted to these coordinates that can be employed to expand the fields Ψ and Φ and give the effective interaction Hamiltonian $H_I(\tau)$ between two coupled oscillators with operators $d, d^\dagger$ and $D, D^\dagger$, see [26]. One way to interpret this is that the localised field Ψ has a mass, acts as the "detector" and moves following a particular trajectory [26]. In this sense, the coordinates $(\tau,\boldsymbol{\xi})$

naturally adapt to the motion of the detector (or of the localised excitations of the field). The effective interaction Hamiltonian $H_I(\tau)$ can be written as

$$H_I(\tau) = \hbar \frac{\sqrt{\omega_D\, \omega_d}}{2} h(\tau) \left[d + e^{i\,\theta_d}\, d^\dagger\right] \left[D + e^{i\,\theta_D}\, D^\dagger\right], \tag{2.3}$$

where the dimensionless function $h(\tau)$ and the phases θ_d, θ_D can be obtained by (2.2) and the expansion of the fields Ψ and Φ, see [16]. In the following, we will assume that $\theta_d = \theta_D = 0$ for convenience of the computations. Notice that, at least for the duration of the interaction, the frequencies ω_D and ω_d correspond to two particular modes ϕ_{k_D} and ψ_{k_d} of the fields Φ and Ψ respectively, where $\partial_\tau\, \phi_{k_D} = -i\, \omega_D\, \phi_{k_D}$ and $\partial_\tau\, \psi_{k_d} = -i\, \omega_d\, \phi_{k_d}$. The interaction coupling represented by $\mathcal{F}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ has "selected" these two particular modes to interact. This can be realised in the laboratory, for example when a cantilever and a mode of the electromagnetic interact [27, 14]. The eigenvalues ω_D and ω_d, as anticipated before, are also the frequencies of the modes in this case and ∂_τ plays the role of the timelike Killing vector, at least locally and for the duration of the interaction [26]. In addition, we have chosen to normalise the time-dependent coupling constant $g(\tau)$ by the critical quantity $g_c = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_D\, \omega_d}}{2}$, obtaining $h(\tau) := g/g_c$, which plays an important role in interacting systems within quantum optics [15, 14]. This choice will prove convenient in the rest of the paper.

Finally, notice that the Hamiltonian (2.3) can be further generalised to include all possible quadratic combinations of the operators, such as D^2, d^2 and the hermitean conjugates. We will comment more on this in later Sections.

2.2. Continuous variables and Covariance Matrix formalism

We have introduced the interacting bosonic systems and the type of the interaction that will be studied in this work. We have argued that a wide and interesting class of Hamiltonians is that of quadratic Hamiltonians in the creation and annihilation operators. We now turn our attention to the available states of the modes of the field. In the past years it has been understood that the class of states known as Gaussian states, i.e., the states with Gaussian characteristic function, are a valuable toolbox to investigate quantum information processing in quantum setups and in relativistic ones as well [18, 23]. The main advantage is that a powerful set of mathematical tools has been developed to treat Gaussian states of bosonic fields that undergo linear (i.e., quadratic) transformations and time evolution [18], such as the ones considered here. Furthermore, Gaussian states are the paramount resource for continuous variables quantum information processing and computation [28] and have become a standard feature in most quantum optics laboratories.

In quantum mechanics, the initial state ρ_i of a system of N bosonic modes with operators $\{a_n, a_n^\dagger\}$ evolves to a final state ρ_f through the standard Heisenberg equation $\rho_f = U^\dagger\, \rho_i\, U$, where U implements the transformation of interest, such as time evolution. If the state ρ is Gaussian and the Hamiltonian H is quadratic in the operators, it is convenient to introduce the vector $\mathbb{X} = (a_1, \ldots, a_N, a_1^\dagger, \ldots, a_N^\dagger)^{Tp}$, the

vector of first moments $d := \langle \mathbb{X} \rangle$ and the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ defined by $\sigma_{nm} := \langle \{\mathbb{X}_n, \mathbb{X}_m^\dagger\} \rangle - 2\langle \mathbb{X}_n \rangle \langle \mathbb{X}_m^\dagger \rangle$, where $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ stands for anticommutator and all expectation values of an operator $\mathcal{A}$ are defined by $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle := \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\,\rho)$. In this language, the canonical commutation relations read $[\mathbb{X}_n, \mathbb{X}_m^\dagger] = i\,\Omega_{nm}$, where the $2N \times 2N$ matrix $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is known as the symplectic form [18]. We then notice that, while arbitrary states of bosonic modes are, in general, characterised by an infinite amount of degrees of freedom, a Gaussian state is uniquely determined by its first and second moments, d_n and σ_{nm} respectively [18]. Furthermore, quadratic (i.e., linear) unitary transformations, such as Bogoliubov transformations [12], preserve the Gaussian character of the Gaussian state and can always be represented by a $2N \times 2N$ symplectic matrix $\boldsymbol{S}$ that preserves the symplectic form, i.e., $\boldsymbol{S}^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}$. All of this can be used to show that the Heisenberg equation can be translated in this language to the simple equation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_f = \boldsymbol{S}^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\,\boldsymbol{S}$, which shifts the problem of usually untreatable operator algebra to simple $2N \times 2N$ matrix multiplication. Finally, Williamson's theorem guarantees that any $2N \times 2N$ hermitian matrix, such as the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, can be decomposed as $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{S}^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{\nu}_\oplus\,\boldsymbol{S}$, where $\boldsymbol{S}$ is an appropriate symplectic matrix, the diagonal matrix $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\oplus = \mathrm{diag}(\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_N, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_N)$ is known as the Williamson form of the state and $\nu_n := \coth(\frac{2\hbar\omega_n}{k_B T}) \geq 1$ are the symplectic eigenvalues of the state [29].

Williamson's form $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\oplus$ contains information about the local and global mixedness of the state of the system [18]. The state is pure when $\det(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \det(\boldsymbol{\nu}_\oplus) = \prod_n \nu_n = 1$ and is mixed otherwise. As an example, the thermal state $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{th}$ of a N-mode bosonic system is simply given by its Williamson form, i.e., $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{th} = \boldsymbol{\nu}_\oplus$.

2.3. Solving the time evolution of coupled bosonic modes

We are now equipped to discuss the last set of tools necessary for our work. Given a set of N bosonic modes and an arbitrary, time dependent (quadratic) Hamiltonian $H(\tau)$, the unitary time evolution operator reads

$$U(\tau) = \overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}\, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^\tau d\tau'\, H(\tau')}, \tag{2.4}$$

where we assume that $\tau_0 = 0$ for simplicity and $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}$ stands for time ordering operator [16]. This expression simplifies dramatically when the Hamiltonian H is time independent, in which case one simply has $U(\tau) = \exp[-\frac{i}{\hbar}\,H\,\tau]$. However, we are interested in general time evolution. The solution to the formal expression (2.4) can be found by decoupling techniques [16], which we briefly outline in Appendix A. We note in passing that these techniques do not apply only to linear transformations between Gaussian states but to any problem where the transformation is induced by quadratic Hamiltonians. We choose to apply these techniques to solve problems where the states are Gaussian because this allows us to compute any quantity of interest analytically.

To present the general result we notice that, given a set of N bosonic modes, there are $N\,(2\,N + 1)$ independent quadratic hermitian operators, which we can denote G_n, that can be formed by arbitrary quadratic combinations of the creation and annihilation

operators only (or, equivalently, of the quadrature operators) see [16]. For example, $G_1 = a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_1 a_1^\dagger$ or $G_8 = a_2^\dagger a_5^\dagger + a_5 a_2$, where the numbering and ordering of the generators G_n is a matter of convenience. We also recall that any unitary transformation induced by a quadratic operator can be represented by a $2N \times 2N$ symplectic matrix $\boldsymbol{S}$, and this includes the quadratic time evolution operator (2.4) as well. Combining all of this together, it can be shown (see Appendix A and [16] for a detailed derivation) that the symplectic matrix $\boldsymbol{S}$ that represents the time evolution operator (2.4) takes the form

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \prod_{n=1}^{N\,(2N+1)} \boldsymbol{S}_n, \tag{2.5}$$

where the symplectic matrices $\boldsymbol{S}_n$ are given by $\boldsymbol{S}_n := \exp[-F_n(\tau)\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}\,\boldsymbol{G}_n]$ and the matrices $\boldsymbol{G}_n$ can be obtained through $G_n = \mathbb{X}^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{G}_n\,\mathbb{X}$. The real, time dependent functions $F_n(\tau)$ can be obtained by solving the following system of coupled nonlinear first order differential equations

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \dot{F}_1\,\boldsymbol{G}_1 + \dot{F}_2\,\boldsymbol{S}_1^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{G}_2\,\boldsymbol{S}_1 + \dot{F}_3\,\boldsymbol{S}_1^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{S}_2^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{G}_3\,\boldsymbol{S}_2\,\boldsymbol{S}_1 + \dot{F}_4\,\boldsymbol{S}_1^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{S}_2^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{S}_3^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{G}_4\,\boldsymbol{S}_3\,\boldsymbol{S}_2\,\boldsymbol{S}_1 + \ldots, \tag{2.6}$$

where the matrix $\boldsymbol{H}$ can be obtained by $H(\tau) = \mathbb{X}^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{H}\,\mathbb{X}$, again, see [16].

The main aim of this work is to provide an analytical solution to the system (2.6) for two coupled bosonic modes for the case of a two mode squeezing Hamiltonian and discuss how this can then be extended to more bosonic modes. The final outcome is to exploit the analytical understanding to gain novel insight in the physics of interacting bosonic systems.

3. Time evolution techniques for coupled bosonic modes: time dependent two mode squeezing

3.1. Set of differential equations

We start here by analysing a simpler version of the interaction Hamiltonian (2.3). We consider two bosonic modes, a field and "detector" mode, with operators $D, D^\dagger$ and $d, d^\dagger$ respectively, and the Hamiltonian

$$H(\tau) = \hbar\,\omega_D\,D^\dagger D + \hbar\,\omega_d\,d^\dagger d + i\,\frac{\hbar\,\sqrt{\omega_D\,\omega_d}}{2}\,\tilde{h}(\tau)\left[D^\dagger d^\dagger - Dd\right], \tag{3.1}$$

where $\tilde{h}(\tau)$ is a real coupling function that drives the interaction. It is convenient to rescale the time τ of the evolution and introduce the dimensionaless time $\eta := \frac{\sqrt{\omega_D\,\omega_d}}{2}\,\tau$ and to normalise the Hamiltonian by $\hbar$. This allows us to understand which are the only relevant scales that govern the physics of the system. We find

$$\mathcal{H}(\eta) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\,D^\dagger D + \epsilon\,d^\dagger d + i\,h(\eta)\left[D^\dagger d^\dagger - Dd\right], \tag{3.2}$$

where we have introduced $h(\eta) := \frac{1}{2}\,\tilde{h}(\eta)$ for convenience and we have also defined the important dimensionless control parameter $\epsilon := \sqrt{\frac{\omega_d}{\omega_D}}$, which controls the "distance"

of the current scenario from the resonance case $\epsilon = 1$. The interaction part of the Hamiltonian (3.2) is the well-known two-mode squeezing interaction which, for example, can be engineered in the laboratory to good approximation by employing nonlinear crystals [30].

We apply the techniques described above and, as shown in Appendix B, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{S}_- \, \boldsymbol{S}_+ \, \boldsymbol{S}_D \, \boldsymbol{S}_d, \tag{3.3}$$

where we have introduced the symplectic matrices $\boldsymbol{S}_{D,d} = \exp[-F_{D,d}\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}\, \boldsymbol{G}_{D,d}]$ and $\boldsymbol{S}_\pm = \exp[-F_\pm\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}\, \boldsymbol{G}_\pm]$. The hermitian generators $\boldsymbol{G}_D$, $\boldsymbol{G}_d$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_\pm$ can be obtained from the relations $G_D = \mathbb{X}^\dagger\, \boldsymbol{G}_D\, \mathbb{X}$, $G_d = \mathbb{X}^\dagger\, \boldsymbol{G}_d\, \mathbb{X}$ and $G_\pm = \mathbb{X}^\dagger\, \boldsymbol{G}_\pm\, \mathbb{X}$, where $G_D = D^\dagger D + D D^\dagger$ and $G_d = d^\dagger d + d d^\dagger$ and $G_\pm = e^{i\,\theta_\pm}[D^\dagger d^\dagger \pm D d]$, where $\theta_\pm = \frac{\pi}{4} \mp \frac{\pi}{4}$. The differential equations (2.6) for the time dependent functions $F_n(\eta)$ for our case reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Theta}_+ &= 2\,\chi\, \frac{\cosh(F_-)}{\cosh(F_+)} \\
\dot{\Theta}_- &= \frac{1-\epsilon^2}{\epsilon} \\
\dot{F}_+ &= -\chi\, \sinh(F_-) \\
\dot{F}_- &= h(\eta) + \chi\, \cosh(F_-)\, \tanh(F_+),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where, for future convenience, we have changed from $F_{D,d}$ to $\Theta_\pm := F_D \pm F_d$. We have also introduced the important dimensionless parameter

$$\chi := \frac{1+\epsilon^2}{2\,\epsilon}, \tag{3.5}$$

which, as we shall see later, sets the oscillation scale of the system. Notice that $0 \leq \epsilon$ and that $\chi > 1$. Furthermore, when $\epsilon \leq 1$ we have $\epsilon = \chi - \sqrt{\chi^2 - 1}$, while when $\epsilon \geq 1$ we have $\epsilon = \chi + \sqrt{\chi^2 - 1}$.

Finally, we notice two things. First, the order of the operations in(3.3) is a matter of choice and convenience. Changing the order will, in the end, only change the functions F_n (this is a consequence of Lie group theory). Second, the number difference $N_- := N_D - N_d$ is a conserved quantity, as can be seen by the fact that the number difference operator $D^\dagger D - d^\dagger d$ commutes with the Hamiltonian (3.2). This leads, as expected, to a trivial differential equation for the function Θ_- which reads $\Theta_- = \frac{1-\epsilon^2}{\epsilon}\, \eta$.

From now on we ignore the functions $\Theta_\pm$ since, as we will see, relevant quantities are independent of these phases.

3.2. Stability of the vacuum

We discuss here another important issue that arises when studying arbitrary Hamiltonians and stability of classical and quantum systems. An arbitrary Hamiltonian is a hermitian operator with real eigenvalues, however, in order for it to represent a physical process characterised by a spectrum of energies bounded from below (or, equivalently, with a stable vacuum state), the eigenvalues must be positive [9]. It is well known that the presence of one (or more) points where the Hamiltonian ceases

to have only positive real eigenvalues is a signature of quantum phase transitions [15]. Furthermore, the question of the stability of the ground state of bosonic systems with time dependent potentials is of great importance for the understanding of the dynamics of these systems. Conditions on the stability in experimentally meaningful potentials, such as a periodic monochromatic wave, have been found in the literature [31].

Let us look at our Hamiltonian (3.2). It can be easily put in matrix form, i.e., it is immediate to find the matrix $\boldsymbol{H}$ that represents it from the relation $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{X}^\dagger \boldsymbol{H}\, \mathbb{X}$. This reads

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\epsilon} & 0 & 0 & i\,h(\eta) \\ 0 & \epsilon & i\,h(\eta) & 0 \\ 0 & -i\,h(\eta) & \frac{1}{\epsilon} & 0 \\ -i\,h(\eta) & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

We compute the eigenvalues $\lambda_\pm$ of the matrix (3.6) which are doubly degenerate and take the expression

$$\lambda_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\left[\chi \pm \sqrt{\chi^2 + h^2(\eta) - 1}\right]. \tag{3.7}$$

It is immediate to see that $\lambda_+ > 0$ for any value of the parameters, however, λ_- is positive only when the renormalised dimensionless coupling h satisfies $h \leq 1$, which translates to the well known bound $g(\eta) \leq g_c = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_D\,\omega_d}}{2}$ for the dimensional coupling $g(\eta) = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_D\,\omega_d}}{2}\,h(\eta)$. This condition is widely known in literature and is a signature of a quantum phase transition in systems such as the Dicke model [15].

We conclude that, also in our case, the Hamiltonian (3.2) can be used only for couplings that do not exceed the critical value g_c.

3.3. Average particle number and logarithmic negativity from an initial two-mode squeezed thermal state

We turn to computing relevant quantities, such as the number expectation value and entanglement, as measured by the logarithmic negativity.

We employ as an initial state $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$ the most general (up to local rotations) two-mode state, i.e. a two-mode squeezed thermal state [18]. This can be obtained by applying a two-mode squeezing operation $\boldsymbol{S}_{TMS}(r)$, with squeezing parameter r, to the thermal state $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{th} = \mathrm{diag}(\nu_D, \nu_d, \nu_D, \nu_d)$ of the two modes with temperature T, where $\nu_D = \coth(\frac{2\hbar\omega_D}{k_B\,T})$ and $\nu_d = \coth(\frac{2\hbar\omega_d}{k_B\,T})$. One therefore has, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = \boldsymbol{S}^\dagger_{TMS}(r)\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{th}\,\boldsymbol{S}_{TMS}(r)$. All details of the calculations can be found in Appendix C. In this work we assume, without loss of generality, that the initial displacement (first moments) vanishes, i.e., $d_n = 0$, which guarantees that the displacement will always vanish [18].

The number expectation value N_d of the probe mode "d" is defined through the final covariance matrix elements as $N_d := \frac{\sigma_{f,22}-1}{2}$, while the expectation value N_D of the field mode "D" has the expression $N_D := \frac{\sigma_{f,11}-1}{2}$. Explicitly we find

$$\begin{aligned} N_+ &= \nu_+\,\cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r) - 1 \\ N_- &= \nu_-, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where we have defined $N_\pm := N_D \pm N_d$ and $\nu_\pm := \frac{\nu_D - \nu_d}{2}$ for convenience of presentation. Notice that N_- is time independent as expected (i.e., does not depend on the time dependent F functions).

We now turn to computing entanglement, the paradigmatic resource for quantum information processing [32]. Entanglement between the two modes can be computed employing the well-known PPT criterion [33, 34], which can be extended also to continuous variables systems [35, 36]. In the covariance matrix formalism, the PPT criterion gives rise to convenient measures, such as the logarithmic negativity $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$, which takes the expression $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) := \max\{0, \frac{1-\tilde{\nu}_-}{\tilde{\nu}_-}\}$, where $\tilde{\nu}_-$ is the smallest symplectic eigenvalue of the partial transpose $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ of the state $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, see [18]. In our present case, we show in Appendix C that

$$\tilde{\nu}_- = \nu_+ \left[\cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r) - \sqrt{\cosh^2(F_+)\,\cosh^2(F_- + 2\,r) - 1 + \frac{\nu_-^2}{\nu_+^2}}\right] \tag{3.9}$$

and therefore logarithmic negativity $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ takes the expression

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{\nu_+}{\nu_+^2 - \nu_-^2}\left[\cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r) + \sqrt{\cosh^2(F_+)\,\cosh^2(F_- + 2\,r) - 1 + \frac{\nu_-^2}{\nu_+^2}}\right] - 1 \tag{3.10}$$

when $\cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r) > \frac{1+\nu_+^2-\nu_-^2}{2\,\nu_+}$ and vanishes otherwise. Notice that this is in line with previous results on the generation of entanglement between two bosonic modes and the role of the initial temperature [37]. Although these formulas cannot be manipulated further, we will be able to employ them in the following sections, where we find ways to solve the differential equations.

3.4. Time evolution of the system

Here we find the solution to the differential equations (3.4). Details of the computations can be found in Appendix D .

The solutions to the differential equations (3.4) after the interaction $h(\eta)$ has been switched off ($h(\eta) = 0$) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_+ &= \ln\left(\sqrt{C-1}\,\sin(\chi\,\eta + \phi) + \sqrt{1 + (C-1)\,\sin^2(\chi\,\eta + \phi)}\right) \\ F_- &= \ln\left(\sqrt{C} - \sqrt{C-1}\,\cos(\chi\,\eta + \phi)\right) - \frac{1}{2}\,\ln\left(1 + (C-1)\,\sin^2(\chi\,\eta + \phi)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where C and ϕ are constants to be determined. We notice that, when $h(\eta)$ is identically vanishing (no interaction), the solutions (3.11) must vanish identically and for all times. This implies that $C = 1 + A^2$, where A is a constant that we will determine explicitly later in the case of weak coupling and we can anticipate depends on integration of the coupling $h(\eta)$ up to the switch-off time. We can write then

$$\begin{aligned} F_+ &= \ln\left(A\,\sin(\chi\,\eta + \phi) + \sqrt{1 + A^2\,\sin^2(\chi\,\eta + \phi)}\right) \\ F_- &= \ln\left(\sqrt{1+A^2} - A\,\cos(\chi\,\eta + \phi)\right) - \frac{1}{2}\,\ln\left(1 + A^2\,\sin^2(\chi\,\eta + \phi)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

The functions $F_\pm$ are periodic. When the amplitude of oscillation is small, i.e., $A \ll 1$, the functions $F_\pm$ reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} F_+ &= A\,\sin(\chi\,\eta+\phi)+\mathcal{O}(A^2)\\ F_- &= -A\,\cos(\chi\,\eta+\phi)+\mathcal{O}(A^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

When the coupling is small, i.e., $h \ll 1$ and the input work is small, which means assuming that $\int d\eta' |h(\eta')| \ll 1$, we have that $F_\pm \ll 1$ and the main equations (3.4) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \dot{F}_+ + \chi\,F_-\\ 0 &= \dot{F}_- - \chi\,F_+ - h\\ 0 &= \ddot{F}_+ + \chi^2\,F_+ + \chi\,h\\ 0 &= \ddot{F}_- + \chi^2\,F_- - \dot{h}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Employing the standard method of Variation of Parameters one finds

$$\begin{aligned} F_+ =&\ \cos(\chi\,\eta)\,\left[\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\sin(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')+\alpha_+\right]\\ &-\sin(\chi\,\eta)\,\left[\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\cos(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')+\beta_+\right]\\ F_- =&\ -\cos(\chi\,\eta)\,\left[\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\sin(\chi\,\eta')\,\frac{\dot{h}(\eta')}{\chi}+\alpha_-\right]\\ &+\sin(\chi\,\eta)\,\left[\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\cos(\chi\,\eta')\,\frac{\dot{h}(\eta')}{\chi}+\beta_-\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

The constraint $F_\pm(0)=0$ implies that $\alpha_+=\alpha_-=0$, which allows us to rewrite (3.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} F_+ =&\ \cos(\chi\,\eta)\,\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\sin(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')-\sin(\chi\,\eta)\,\left[\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\cos(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')+\beta_+\right]\\ F_- =&\ \cos(\chi\,\eta)\,\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\cos(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')+\sin(\chi\,\eta)\,\left[\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\sin(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')+\beta_-\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where we have integrated by parts the integrals that appear in F_-.

The solutions (3.16) need to satisfy all of the equations in (3.14). In particular $\dot{F}_+ = -F_-$. It can be easily checked that this implies that $\beta_+=\beta_-=0$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_+ =&\ \cos(\chi\,\eta)\,\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\sin(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')-\sin(\chi\,\eta)\,\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\cos(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')\\ F_- =&\ \cos(\chi\,\eta)\,\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\cos(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')+\sin(\chi\,\eta)\,\int_0^\eta d\eta'\,\sin(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta'). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

The coupling we consider here is switched off at some point in time, which we denote by η_f, i.e., $h(\eta_f)=0$. Notice that the following can be generalised for couplings that switch off smoothly at infinity, i.e., by taking the limit of all the following for $\eta_f\to\infty$.

The solutions (3.17) match the solutions (3.13) at this time, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A\,\sin\phi &= -\int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,\sin(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta') \\ A\,\cos\phi &\quad -\int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,\cos(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta'). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

This, finally, fixes uniquely the expression of A and ϕ as a function of h when the driving function is small. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sqrt{\int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,d\eta''\,\cos(\chi\,\eta'-\chi\,\eta'')\,h(\eta')\,h(\eta'')} \\ \phi &= \frac{i}{2}\ln\left(\frac{\int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,e^{i\,\chi\,\eta'}\,h(\eta')}{\int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,e^{-i\,\chi\,\eta'}\,h(\eta')}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Notice that in (3.19) we have used the identity $\left(\int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,\sin(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')\right)^2 + \left(\int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,\cos(\chi\,\eta')\,h(\eta')\right)^2 = \int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,d\eta''\,\cos(\chi\,\eta'-\chi\,\eta'')\,h(\eta')\,h(\eta'')$.

3.5. Average particle number and logarithmic negativity

We are in position to compute explicitly the number expectation value (3.8) and the logarithmic negativity (3.10) after the interaction has been switched off by employing the solutions (3.12). After some algebra we find the number expectation values

$$\begin{aligned} N_+ &= \nu_+\,\cosh(2\,r)\,\left[\sqrt{1+A^2}-A\,\tanh(2r)\,\cos(\chi\,\eta+\phi)\right]-1 \\ N_- &= \nu_-. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

and the logarithmic negativity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} = \frac{\nu_+}{\nu_+^2-\nu_-^2}\Big[&\sqrt{1+A^2}\,\cosh(2\,r)-A\,\sinh(2r)\,\cos(\chi\,\eta+\phi) \\ &+\sqrt{\left(\sqrt{1+A^2}\,\cosh(2\,r)-A\,\sinh(2r)\,\cos(\chi\,\eta+\phi)\right)^2-1+\frac{\nu_-^2}{\nu_+^2}}\Big]-1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Notice the role of the initial squeezing r. When $r=0$ both the number expectation values (3.20) and the logarithmic negativity (3.21) are constant in time (this is in agreement with results from [16]).

Finally, the work done above can be extended to include cases where the interaction is not turned off at any specific time but is turned off asymptotically (i.e., $h(\eta)\to 0$ for $\eta\to\infty$).

3.6. Change in energy

We can compute the change in energy $\Delta E := \mathrm{Tr}(H\,\rho_f) - \mathrm{Tr}(H\,\rho_i)$ between the initial and final states. In the covariance matrix language it is easy to show that it reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E &= \hbar\,\frac{\omega_D\,\sigma_{f,11}+\omega_d\,\sigma_{f,22}-\omega_D\,\sigma_{i,11}-\omega_d\,\sigma_{i,22}}{2} \\ &= \hbar\,(\omega_D+\omega_d)\,N_+ + \hbar\,(\omega_D-\omega_d)\,N_-. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Using our results it is straightforward to check that this takes the final expression

$$\Delta E = A\,\hbar\,\nu_+\,(\omega_D+\omega_d)\,\cosh(2\,r)\left(\frac{A}{\sqrt{1+A^2}+1}-\,\tanh(2\,r)\,\cos(\chi\,\eta+\phi)\right). \tag{3.23}$$

We notice that the constant A is independent on the initial state. When the energy input is small we have

$$\Delta E_0 \sim \hbar\,(\omega_D+\omega_d)\int_0^{\eta_f} d\eta'\,d\eta''\,\cos(\chi\,\eta'-\chi\,\eta'')\,h(\eta')\,h(\eta''). \tag{3.24}$$

We see that the energy, or more precisely the *flow* of energy, towards or from the system is provided by the external drive. In this sense, since the evolution of the two mode system is unitary, all of the energy computed in (3.24) (or, in the small coupling regime, in) must be balanced by the change of energy of the external drive.

3.7. Linearisation of equations

We have already seen that by linearising the differential equations it is possible to completely determine uniquely the solutions in terms of functions (or integrals) of the time dependent coupling constant h. One can proceed further and note that the main differential equations, that are determined by combinations of similarity transformations of the form (2.6), can be linearised independently of the dimension of the system (i.e., the number N of modes). Let us introduce the vector $\mathbb{F}$ of functions $F(\eta)$ as $\mathbb{F} := (F_1, F_2, \dots F_N)^{Tp}$. Then, linearising the main differential equations for small interactions with initial conditions $F_n(0)=0$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbb{F}} &= \boldsymbol{M}\,\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{H}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\\ \ddot{\mathbb{F}} &= \boldsymbol{M}^2\,\mathbb{F}+\boldsymbol{M}\,\mathbb{H}+\dot{\mathbb{H}}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where $\mathbb{H}$ is a vector that contains the contributions from the coupling (and gives the system (3.25) a non-trivial solution, since it is non-homogeneous) and the small perturbative parameter ϵ governs the validity of the linearisation procedure. The matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$ is a matrix of constant real coefficients defined by $M_{nm} := \frac{\partial \dot{F}_n}{\partial F_m}|_{\epsilon=0}$. We notice that, when $\mathbb{H}\equiv 0$, or equivalently $\epsilon=0$, one has $F_n\equiv 0$ for all times given the initial conditions $F_n(0)=0$. This is the trivial solution mentioned above.

We can then see that a general formal solution to (3.25) is

$$\mathbb{F} = \sin(\boldsymbol{M}\eta)\int_0^{\eta} d\eta'\cos(\boldsymbol{M}\eta)(\mathbb{H}+\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}\dot{\mathbb{H}}) - \cos(\boldsymbol{M}\eta)\int_0^{\eta} d\eta'\sin(\boldsymbol{M}\eta)(\mathbb{H}+\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}\dot{\mathbb{H}}). \tag{3.26}$$

Therefore, the general system (3.25) has the solution (3.26), which we have shown can be found explicitly by the standard method of Variation of Parameters. Our previous results (3.17) for two modes are a simple application of these more general techniques.

3.8. The nature of the small coupling regime

Our results indicate that the absolute value of the coupling h at any time is not sufficient to determine if the system is in a regime of "small coupling". From (3.17) and (3.24)

we see that

$$\Delta E_0 \propto F_+^2(\eta) + F_-^2(\eta) \leq \left(\int_0^\eta |h(\eta)| \right)^2 . \tag{3.27}$$

This indicates that the amount of energy provided, and therefore the strength of the interaction, is regulated by the quantity $\int_0^\eta |h(\eta)|$. It is this quantity that needs to be small for the perturbative regime to hold. Contrary to some approximations considered in literature, it is not necessary that $|h| \ll 1$, as long as $\int_0^\eta |h(\eta)| \ll 1$. Clearly, the former implies the latter but there are regimes where h can be "large" for brief periods of time and still the perturbative regime hold. We will see an explicit case in Section 4.

4. Example and applications to relativistic and quantum information processing

We briefly discuss applications of our techniques to problems of interest to the field of relativistic and quantum information processing and to quantum optics. The rapidly growing field of relativistic and quantum information aims at understanding the effects of relativity on quantum information tasks [23, 38]. As the research directions in the field move towards applications for experiments, the question of detection in systems that can probe both relativity and quantum physics becomes paramount. Systems with couplings between harmonic oscillators, similar to the ones we have presented in this work, have been recently engineered in the laboratory and have shown promising results for applications for quantum information processing [15]. Furthermore, it has been argued that harmonic oscillator-type detectors could be good candidates for detection in systems where relativistic motion, or gravity, can play a role [16]. The difference between these detectors and the standard Unruh-DeWitt detector become evident only in the long time regimes [39, 40].

We focus on a two-mode squeezing Hamiltonian that can potentially be employed to study phenomena at the overlap of relativity and quantum science and which has promising applications, for example, to future technologies that involve superconducting circuits [5, 19].

In case of the choice of an harmonic probe, or harmonic oscillator, we can immediately extend our work to the interaction Hamiltonian

$$H_I = m(t) \int d^3x \, \sqrt{-g} \, \mathcal{F}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \, \Phi(t, \boldsymbol{x}), \tag{4.1}$$

which is equivalent to the interaction (2.2) with one bosonic field replaced by a harmonic probe (or "detector") with monopole moment $m(t) = [e^{-\omega_d t} \, d + \, e^{\omega_d t} \, d^\dagger]$ and $[d, d^\dagger] = 1$, see [16, 26]. As already anticipated, the final results do not depend on the starting point of view and we choose to start from (2.2) for a matter of convenience and because of the application we have in mind. Suitable choices of the "shape" function $\mathcal{F}$ and the coordinates lead, once more, to an Hamiltonian of the form (2.3), as we anticipated before. One can then apply the techniques introduced in this paper to find the time evolution of the system and understand its dynamics.

Our tools can also be employed for more complicated Hamiltonians. We have chosen to focus on (3.2), which arises in the well-known rotating wave approximation, in order to illustrate our techniques. They can be extended to a Hamiltonian beyond the rotating wave approximation, and even more complicated ones, such as one that includes all quadratic terms.

To keep the computations simple we specialise to a two-mode squeezing Hamiltonian of the form

$$\mathcal{H}(\eta) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} D^\dagger D + \epsilon\, d^\dagger d + i\,\lambda\,\eta^2\, e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{\eta_0^2}} \left[D^\dagger d^\dagger - D d\right], \tag{4.2}$$

where the constant λ controls the strength of the interaction and η_0 the timescale of the interaction. Two mode squeezing is of great importance for quantum information processing with continuous variables [41, 18] and for more fundamental studies within quantum optics [10].

Employing our techniques for this Hamiltonian we can obtain the final solution and plot it against numerical integration of the main equations (3.4). A sample of possible results can be found in figure 1. This helps us illustrate the importance of our techniques. It is evident from the figures that our techniques are very well suited to obtain the full analytical control over the time evolution for small couplings (see panels a and b in figure 1). We can also see how the functions $F_\pm$ look like in the case of larger



Figure 1. Here we plot $F_+(\eta)$ (figure a) and $F_-(\eta)$ (figure b). We have chosen $\chi = 0.1$ and $\eta_0 = 1$ in all figures. Figure a shows two curves, for $\lambda = 0.1$ (dashed green) and $\lambda = 1$ (dotted red). Figure a also shows the function F_+ obtained analytically in the small coupling regime for $\lambda = 1$ (think blue). We see that the predicted curve and the one obtained numerically for $\lambda = 1$ are almost indistinguishable. Figure b shows the analysis for the F_- functions. Note that although $\lambda = 1$, the coupling never exceeds the value $1/e$ and this is already "small enough" for our analytical techniques to hold.

input energies (or couplings). The results can be found in figure 2 and we see that, after the interaction has been switched off, our analytical prediction is correct and matches exactly the numerical results, although it is not possible to determine a priori the value of the amplitude and phase of the oscillations. Interactions of this kind can potentially be used to model energy and entropy flows in cosmological setups as well as in black hole



Figure 2. Here we plot $F_+(\eta)$ (figure a) and $F_-(\eta)$ (figure b) obtained by numerical integration of the main differential equations and through the analytical predictions in the large input-energy regime. We have chosen $\chi = 0.1$ and $\eta_0 = 3$ in all figures. The dashed (green) lines are for $\lambda = 0.1$ and the dotted (red) ones are for $\lambda = 1$. The thick (blue) line represent the functions predicted analytically, where we have optimised the constants A, ϕ and obtained the values $A = \ln\sinh 12$ and $\phi = -0.6$. There is a mismatch for short times as expected, since our analytical results apply only after the interaction has been switched off (or $\eta/\eta_0 \gg 1$ in our case).

scenarios, where a direct coupling Hamiltonian between (quantum) gravity and matter is not available. Work in this direction has already been initiated [42, 43].

5. Conclusion

In this work we solved the time evolution of coupled bosonic modes that interact with a meaningful time dependent quadratic Hamiltonian, i.e. a time dependent two-mode squeezing Hamiltonian. We have computed analytically the time dependent expectation value of the relevant quantities, such as the average particle number, the change in energy and the entanglement, quantified by the logarithmic negativity. We have also discussed the nature of perturbative approaches to the problem, highlighting the relevant important quantities for these approximations to hold. Our work can be extended to more complicated quadratic Hamiltonians of bosonic modes, which have applications in other areas of physics such as Dicke-like models [15]. We leave it to future work to investigate such systems and to understand the scope and validity of these techniques and solutions [44].

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Chaitanya Joshi, Elinor Irish, Leila Khouri, Antony Lee, Jan Kohlrus and Tim Spiller for useful comments and discussions. We extend particular thanks to André Xuereb for invaluable help with analysing the differential equations and to Jorma Louko for aid with analysing the solutions. D.E.B. also acknowledges partial support from the COST Action MP1405 QSPACE.

Appendix A. Decoupling of the time evolution operator

Here we briefly outline the mathematical techniques that lead to the solution of the general problem of time evolution for quadratic Hamiltonians. The details of the derivation can be found in [16]. For convenience, we recall the time evolution operator (2.4) below

$$U(t) = \overrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \exp[-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int dt'\, H(t')], \tag{A.1}$$

which is the general unitary operator expressing time evolution of a given Hamiltonian.

When the Hamiltonian is given only by quadratic operators, we can employ Lie algebra theory to rewrite (A.1) as a product of unitary operators which depend only on real, time dependent $F_j(t)$ and hermitian quadratic "generators" G_j [16]. This expression is

$$U(t) = \prod_j U_j(t) = \prod_j e^{-iF_j(t)G_j}, \tag{A.2}$$

where the Lie algebra structure of the set of generators $\{G_n\}$ is $[G_i, G_j] = c_{ijk}\, G_k$, and c_{ijk} are complex structure constants of the algebra. Equating (A.1) with (A.2), taking the time derivative on both sides and finally multiplying by $U^{-1}(t)$ on the right provides us with the following sum of similarity transformations

$$H(t) = \dot{F}_1(t)G_1 + \dot{F}_2(t)U_1G_2U_1^{-1} + \dot{F}_3(t)U_1U_2G_3U_2^{-1}U_1^{-1} + ... \tag{A.3}$$

It is now straightforward to derive the symplectic matrix form of (A.3).

We first collect the bosonic operators into a vector $\mathbb{X} := (a_1, a_2, ...; a_1^\dagger, a_2^\dagger, ...)^{Tp}$. We then put all the generators G_j into matrix form via the relation $G_j := \mathbb{X}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{G}_j \cdot \mathbb{X}$. We then use the identity $U_j(t)\,\mathbb{X}\,U_j^{-1}(t) \equiv \mathbf{S}_j(t)\cdot\mathbb{X}$, which is the definition of a linear operator (i.e., transforms linearly the set of all bosonic operators), and we can write

$$U_j(t)\, G_k\, U_j^{-1}(t) = \mathbb{X}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{S}_j^\dagger(t)\, \mathbf{G}_k\, \mathbf{S}_j \cdot \mathbb{X} \tag{A.4}$$

The transformation of the vector $\mathbb{X}$ of operators generated by the interaction Hamiltonian G_j defines the symplectic matrix

$$\mathbf{S}_j := e^{-iF_j(t)\,\mathbf{\Omega}\,\mathbf{G}_j} \tag{A.5}$$

where the symplectic form $\mathbf{\Omega}$ has elements defined by $\Omega_{nm} := -i\,[X_n, X_m^\dagger]$ and $\mathbf{S}$ by definition satisfies $\mathbf{S}^\dagger\,\mathbf{\Omega}\,\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{\Omega}$. We now can use (A.4) and $H = \mathbb{X}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{X}$ to finally yield the expected matrix equation (2.6)

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \dot{F}_1\,\boldsymbol{G}_1 + \dot{F}_2\,\boldsymbol{S}_1^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{G}_2\,\boldsymbol{S}_1 + \dot{F}_3\,\boldsymbol{S}_1^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{S}_2^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{G}_3\,\boldsymbol{S}_2\,\boldsymbol{S}_1 + \dot{F}_4\,\boldsymbol{S}_1^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{S}_2^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{S}_3^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{G}_4\,\boldsymbol{S}_3\,\boldsymbol{S}_2\,\boldsymbol{S}_1 + \ldots \tag{A.6}$$

Appendix B. Time dependent two mode squeezing

We give here the matrix forms of the individual components of the sympletic matrix used in Section (3), and from these we proceed to compute the Hamiltonian matrix used to determine the differential equations (3.4). By performing the matrix exponentiation

given in (A.5) for each of the four generators $\mathbf{G}_{D,d}, \mathbf{G}_{\pm}$ defined in Section (3) (and we use the identity $G_j = \mathbb{X}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{G}_j \cdot \mathbb{X}$ in order to retrieve the matrix form $\mathbf{G}_j$ of each generator G_j), we obtain the four symplectic matrix components

$$\mathbf{S}_D = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{F_D}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{F_D}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{F_d}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{F_d}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\frac{F_+}{2} & 0 & 0 & -i\sinh\frac{F_+}{2} \\ 0 & \cosh\frac{F_+}{2} & -i\sinh\frac{F_+}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & i\sinh\frac{F_+}{2} & \cosh\frac{F_+}{2} & 0 \\ i\sinh\frac{F_+}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cosh\frac{F_+}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_- = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\frac{F_-}{2} & 0 & 0 & \sinh\frac{F_-}{2} \\ 0 & \cosh\frac{F_-}{2} & \sinh\frac{F_-}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh\frac{F_-}{2} & \cosh\frac{F_-}{2} & 0 \\ \sinh\frac{F_-}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cosh\frac{F_-}{2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.1}$$

where $F_{D,d}, F_{\pm}$ are the real, time-dependent functions introduced in Section (2.3) and (Appendix A). We have also used the symplectic form $\mathbf{\Omega}$ defined by $i\mathbf{\Omega} := \mathrm{diag}\,(1, 1, -1, -1)$.

The symplectic matrix $\mathbf{S}$ that represents the evolution is obtained by multiplying the matrices (B.1) together. The specific form of $\mathbf{S}$ depepnds on the order in which we multiply the four matrices in (B.1). We choose the order $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_-\mathbf{S}_+\mathbf{S}_d\mathbf{S}_D$. The elements of this matrix are

$$\begin{aligned} S_{11} = S_{33}^* &= e^{-i\frac{F_D}{2}} \left(\cosh\frac{F_-}{2}\cosh\frac{F_+}{2} + i\sinh\frac{F_-}{2}\sinh\frac{F_+}{2} \right) \\ S_{22} = S_{44}^* &= e^{-i\frac{F_d}{2}} \left(\cosh\frac{F_-}{2}\cosh\frac{F_+}{2} + i\sinh\frac{F_-}{2}\sinh\frac{F_+}{2} \right) \\ S_{14} = S_{32}^* &= e^{i\frac{F_d}{2}} \left(\sinh\frac{F_-}{2}\cosh\frac{F_+}{2} - i\cosh\frac{F_-}{2}\sinh\frac{F_+}{2} \right) \\ S_{23} = S_{41}^* &= e^{i\frac{F_D}{2}} \left(\sinh\frac{F_-}{2}\cosh\frac{F_+}{2} - i\cosh\frac{F_-}{2}\sinh\frac{F_+}{2} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

while all other elements vanish.

Now that we have the components of the symplectic matrix that represents the time evolution we can employ them and the Hamiltonian in the fashion described in (Appendix A). We find

$$H_{11} = H_{33} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\dot{F}_D - \dot{F}_d + \left(\dot{F}_D + \dot{F}_d \right) \cosh F_- \cosh F_+ + 2\dot{F}_+ \sinh F_- \right)$$

$$H_{22} = H_{44} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\dot{F}_d - \dot{F}_D + \left(\dot{F}_D + \dot{F}_d\right)\cosh F_- \cosh F_+ + 2\dot{F}_+ \sinh F_-\right)$$
$$H_{14} = H_{41}^* = \frac{1}{2}\left(i\,\dot{F}_- + \dot{F}_+ \cosh F_- + \frac{\dot{F}_d + \dot{F}_D}{2}\left(\cosh F_+ \sinh F_- - i \sinh F_+\right)\right)$$
$$H_{23} = H_{32}^* = \frac{1}{2}\left(i\,\dot{F}_- + \dot{F}_+ \cosh F_- + \frac{\dot{F}_d + \dot{F}_D}{2}\left(\cosh F_+ \sinh F_- - i \sinh F_+\right)\right), \quad \text{(B.3)}$$

while all other elements vanish. We then equate these elements to the elements of the Hamiltonian matrix in (3.6), i.e., $H_{11} = H_{33} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $H_{22} = H_{44} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $H_{14} = H_{23} = H_{32}^* = H_{41}^* = i\frac{h(\eta)}{2}$. This allows us to obtain (3.4), which we recall below

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Theta}_+ &= 2\,\chi\,\frac{\cosh(F_-)}{\cosh(F_+)} \\
\dot{\Theta}_- &= \frac{1-\epsilon^2}{\epsilon} \\
\dot{F}_+ &= -\chi\,\sinh(F_-) \\
\dot{F}_- &= h(\eta) + \chi\,\cosh(F_-)\,\tanh(F_+),
\end{aligned} \quad \text{(B.4)}$$

where we have defined $\chi := \frac{1+\epsilon^2}{\epsilon}$ and $\Theta_\pm := F_D \pm F_d$ for convenience.

Appendix C. Computing relevant quantities of interest: number expectation value and entanglement

In this section we derive the mean number of excitations in the system and the entanglement generated, as measured by the logarithmic negativity. Both directly depend the elements of the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$.

First, recall from Section (2.2) that a covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ can always be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{S}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\nu}_\oplus \mathbf{S}, \quad \text{(C.1)}$$

where the matrix $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\oplus$ is Williamson's form [18, 29]. In particular, we have $\boldsymbol{\nu}_\oplus = \text{diag}\,(\nu_1, ..., \nu_N, \nu_1, ..., \nu_N)$ where $\nu_n := \coth\left(\frac{2\hbar\omega_n}{k_B T}\right) \geq 1$ are the symplectic eigenvalues of state $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. We evolve an initial state with covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$ in time by employing the symplectic representation of the Heisenberg equation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_f = \mathbf{S}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\, \mathbf{S}$.

The number expectation value of a mode of a bosonic field is $N_D = \left\langle D^\dagger D \right\rangle_\rho$, where $D^\dagger$ and D are the creation and annihilation operators of the mode respectively and the average is done with respect to the state ρ. Employing the canonical commutation relations, we can find that the number expectation value N_D can be expressed in terms of diagonal elements of the covariance matrix as

$$N_D = \frac{\left\langle D^\dagger D \right\rangle - 1}{2} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{f,11} - 1}{2}. \quad \text{(C.2)}$$

We proceed to derive the result (3.8) using the steps introduced above. First, we define

the symplectic matrix for a general two-mode squeezing operation as

$$\mathbf{S}_{TMS} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh r & 0 & 0 & \sinh r \\ 0 & \cosh r & \sinh r & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh r & \cosh r & 0 \\ \sinh r & 0 & 0 & \cosh r \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.3}$$

Second, we form our initial covariance matrix by employing (C.1), where we replace $\mathbf{S}$ by $\mathbf{S}_{TMS}$ and the Williamson form is $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\oplus} = \mathrm{diag}\,(\nu_D, \nu_d, \nu_D, \nu_d)$. Then, we evolve the initial state using the Heisenberg equation, where the time evolution is implemented by the symplectic matrix defined in (B.2).

In our case, using a initial thermal state and using (C.2), we obtain the number expectation value given by (3.8), which we reproduce here

$$N_D = \frac{1}{4}\left[\nu_D - \nu_d + (\nu_d + \nu_D)\cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r) - 2\right]. \tag{C.4}$$

The second half of (3.8) is derived using the same method, albeit using the second diagonal element of the covariance matrix. This second element corresponds to the detector field 'd' as opposed to the field being probed 'D'. One has

$$N_d = \frac{1}{4}\left[\nu_d - \nu_D + (\nu_d + \nu_D)\cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r) - 2\right]. \tag{C.5}$$

We can conveniently rewrite these two expectation values as

$$\begin{aligned} N_+ &= \nu_+\,\cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r) - 1 \\ N_- &= \nu_-, \end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

where we have defined $N_\pm := N_D \pm N_d$ and $\nu_\pm := \frac{\nu_D - \nu_d}{2}$ for convenience of presentation. Notice that N_- is time independent as expected.

We now turn our attention to entanglement. In order to investigate the extent to which entanglement is generated within our system, we employ the PPT criterion [33, 34] which can be readily extended to the covariance matrix formalism[35, 36, 18]. Among the many measures that can be employed, we choose the logarithmic negativity. Notice that for Gaussian states of two modes it can be shown that all measures are a monotonic function of the smallest symplectic eigenvalue $\tilde{\nu}_-$ of the partial transpose [18].

We begin by finding the partial transpose $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ of our covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ (calculated above) as

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{P}, \tag{C.7}$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{P}$ defines the partial transposition transformation in this formalism and is defined as

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.8}$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $i\,\mathbf{\Omega}\,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ are the symplectic eigenvalues of the partial transpose. The smallest of these eigenvalues, i.e. $\tilde{\nu}_-$, is what we need to compute the entanglement and any other quantity related to entropy or correlations [18].

The logarithmic negativity of a covariance matrix was introduced in Section (3.3) as

$$\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) := \max\left\{0, \frac{1-\tilde{\nu}_-}{\tilde{\nu}_-}\right\}. \tag{C.9}$$

In our case, we find

$$\tilde{\nu}_- = \nu_+\,[\cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r) - \sqrt{\cosh^2(F_+)\,\cosh^2(F_- + 2\,r) - 1 + \frac{\nu_-^2}{\nu_+^2}}] \tag{C.10}$$

Finally, substituting (C.10) into (C.9) and simplifying we obtain the final result (3.10), which we print below

$$\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \max\left\{0, \frac{\nu_+}{\nu_+^2 - \nu_-^2}\left[Ch + \sqrt{Ch^2 - 1 + \frac{\nu_-^2}{\nu_+^2}}\right] - 1\right\}, \tag{C.11}$$

where $Ch := \cosh(F_+)\,\cosh(F_- + 2\,r)$ for convenience of presentation.

Appendix D. Analytical solution of the main differential equations

Here we find the solution to our main differential equations, where we choose a physically realisable driving function $h(\eta)$, i.e., which is initially off ($h(0) = 0$) and is switched off after a finite interaction time ($h(\eta) = 0$ for η large enough). In particular we focus on the functions $F_\pm$ since they are the only ones that appear in the relevant quantities of interest, such as particle number and entanglement. We have to look at

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f}_+ &= -\sinh(f_-) \\ \dot{f}_- &= \frac{h(\eta)}{\chi} + \cosh(f_-)\,\tanh(f_+), \end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

where we have introduced the variable $\xi := \chi\,\eta$ and $f_\pm(\xi) := F_\pm(\eta)$.

We take the second derivative of the first equation in(D.1) and we obtain

$$\ddot{f}_+ = -\dot{f}_-\,\cosh(f_-). \tag{D.2}$$

We substitute the second equation of (D.1) in (D.2) and we have

$$\ddot{f}_+ = -\frac{\dot{h}(\eta)}{\chi}\,\cosh(f_-) - \cosh^2(f_-)\,\tanh(f_+). \tag{D.3}$$

Let us consider the differential equations after the interaction has been turned off. In this case we have $h = 0$ and therefore (D.3) reduces to

$$\ddot{f}_+ = -\cosh^2(f_-)\,\tanh(f_+). \tag{D.4}$$

We now employ the first equation of (D.1) in (D.4) to find

$$\ddot{f}_+ = -(1 + \dot{f}_+^2)\,\tanh(f_+). \tag{D.5}$$

Multiplying both sides of (D.5) by $2\,\dot{f}_+$ and rearranging the factor $(1+\dot{f}_+^2)$ we have

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}\ln(1+\dot{f}_+^2) = -2\,\frac{d}{d\xi}\ln(\cosh(f_+)). \tag{D.6}$$

This can be easily integrated and manipulated to find

$$\dot{f}_+\,\cosh(f_+) = \sqrt{C-1-\sinh^2(f_+)}, \tag{D.7}$$

where C is an integration constant that needs to be determined from the transient, i.e., from the interaction function. Finally we see that

$$\sinh(f_+) = \sqrt{C-1}\,\sin(\xi+\phi) \tag{D.8}$$

solves the differential equation (D.7), which implies that

$$f_+ = \ln\left(\sqrt{C-1}\,\sin(\xi+\phi) + \sqrt{1+(C-1)\,\sin^2(\xi+\phi)}\right) \tag{D.9}$$

is the solution for f_+ once the coupling has switched off and ϕ is a phase to be determined. We can use (D.8) in the first equation of (D.1) to obtain, after some algebra,

$$\sinh(f_-) = -\frac{\sqrt{C-1}\,\cos(\xi+\phi)}{\sqrt{1+(C-1)\,\sin^2(\xi+\phi)}}. \tag{D.10}$$

This finally gives us

$$f_- = \ln\left(\sqrt{C}-\sqrt{C-1}\,\cos(\xi+\phi)\right) - \frac{1}{2}\,\ln\left(1+(C-1)\,\sin^2(\xi+\phi)\right). \tag{D.11}$$

Notice that both $f_\pm$ are periodic functions.

References

[1] Ladd T D, Jelezko F, Laflamme R, Nakamura Y, Monroe C and O'Brien J L 2010 *Nature* **464** 45–53

[2] Gisin N, Ribordy G, Tittel W and Zbinden H 2002 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**(1) 145–195

[3] Weedbrook C, Pirandola S, García-Patrón R, Cerf N J, Ralph T C, Shapiro J H and Lloyd S 2012 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**(2) 621–669

[4] Overbeck V R and Weimer H 2015 Time evolution of open quantum many-body systems arXiv:1510.01339 [quant-ph]

[5] Xiang Z L, Ashhab S, You J Q and Nori F 2013 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**(2) 623–653

[6] Bruschi D E, Datta A, Ursin R, Ralph T C and Fuentes I 2014 *Phys. Rev. D* **90**(12) 124001

[7] Sabín C, Bruschi D E, Ahmadi M and Fuentes I 2014 *New Journal of Physics* **16** 085003

[8] Lindkvist J, Sabín C, Johansson G and Fuentes I 2015 *Scientific Reports* **5** 10070 EP

[9] Messiah A 1961 *Quantum Mechanics* (Dover Publications)

[10] Rubin M H, Klyshko D N, Shih Y H and Sergienko A V 1994 *Phys. Rev. A* **50**(6) 5122–5133

[11] Walborn S, Monken C, Pdua S and Ribeiro P S 2010 *Physics Reports* **495** 87 – 139 ISSN 0370-1573

[12] Birrell N D and Davies P C W 1984 *Quantum Field in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press)

[13] Arnowitt R, Deser S and Misner C 2008 *General Relativity and Gravitation* **40** 1997–2027

[14] Aspelmeyer M, Kippenberg T J and Marquardt F 2014 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**(4) 1391–1452

[15] Emary C and Brandes T 2003 *Phys. Rev. E* **67**(6) 066203

[16] Bruschi D E, Lee A R and Fuentes I 2013 *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **46** 165303

[17] Brown E G, Martín-Martínez E, Menicucci N C and Mann R B 2013 *Phys. Rev. D* **87**(8) 084062
[18] Adesso G, Ragy S and Lee A R 2014 *Open Systems & amp; Information Dynamics* **21** 1440001
[19] Wilson C M, Johansson G, Pourkabirian A, Simoen M, Johansson J R, Duty T, Nori F and Delsing P 2011 *Nature* **479** 376–379
[20] Dalfovo F, Giorgini S, Pitaevskii L P and Stringari S 1999 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**(3) 463–512
[21] Carusotto I and Ciuti C 2013 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**(1) 299–366
[22] Hawking S W 1974 *Nature* **248** 30–31
[23] Alsing P M and Fuentes I 2012 *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **29** 224001
[24] Wald R M 1984 *General relativity* (University of Chicago Press)
[25] Friis N, Lee A R and Louko J 2013 *Phys. Rev. D* **88**(6) 064028
[26] Takagi S 1986 *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **88** 1–142
[27] Irish E K and Schwab K 2003 *Phys. Rev. B* **68**(15) 155311
[28] Lloyd S and Braunstein S L 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**(8) 1784–1787
[29] Williamson J 1936 *Am. J. Math.* **58** 141
[30] Mandel L and Wolf E 1994 *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press)
[31] Berman G P, James D F V and Kamenev D I 2001 *Chaos* **11** 449–463
[32] Nielsen M A and Chuang I L 2000 *Quantum computation and quantum information* (Cambridge University Press)
[33] Peres A 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**(8) 1413–1415
[34] Horodecki M, Horodecki P and Horodecki R 1996 *Physics Letters A* **223** 1–8
[35] Simon R 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**(12) 2726–2729
[36] Olivares S 2012 *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* **203** 3–24
[37] Bruschi D E, Friis N, Fuentes I and Weinfurtner S 2013 *New Journal of Physics* **15** 113016
[38] Friis N and Fuentes I 2013 *Journal of Modern Optics* **60** 22–27
[39] Lin S Y and Hu B L 2010 *Phys. Rev. D* **81**(4) 045019
[40] Hu B L, Lin S Y and Louko J 2012 *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **29** 224005
[41] Andersen U, Leuchs G and Silberhorn C 2010 *Laser & Photonics Reviews* **4** 337–354
[42] Liu N, Goold J, Fuentes I, Vedral V, Modi K and Bruschi D E 2015 Quantum thermodynamics for a model of an expanding universe arXiv:1409.5283 [quant-ph]. To appear in Classical and Quantum Gravity
[43] Bruschi D E and Xuereb A. Work in progress
[44] Moore C, Irish E, Joshi C and Bruschi D E. Work in progress